



East African Journal of Environment and Natural Resources

eajenr.eanso.org

Volume 8, Issue 1, 2025

Print ISSN: 2707-4234 | Online ISSN: 2707-4242

Title DOI: <https://doi.org/10.37284/2707-4242>



EAST AFRICAN
NATURE &
SCIENCE
ORGANIZATION

Original Article

Growth Performance and Carbon Sequestration potential of Planted *Albizia versicolor* and *Albizia harveyi* in Morogoro, Tanzania

Milka Elieza Musana^{1*}, Shaban Athuman Chamshama¹, Eliakimu Zahabu & Wilson Mugasha¹

¹ Sokoine University of Agriculture, P. O. Box 3010, Morogoro, Tanzania.

*Correspondence Email: musanamilka@gmail.com

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.37284/eajenr.8.1.2669>

Date Published: ABSTRACT

04 February 2025

Keywords:

Miombo Woodlands,
Monoculture Growth
Performance,
Carbon Sequestration,
Albizia Harveyi,
Albizia Versicolor.

This study assessed the growth performance and Carbon sequestration potential of 21-year-old *Albizia versicolor* Welw ex. Oliver. (Av) and *Albizia harveyi* Fourn (Ah) planted in 2003 at Maseyu village, Morogoro, Tanzania. These species are among the species preferred for wood fuel in Tanzania and other countries within African savanna ecosystems. Seedlings of the two species were planted at a spacing of 2 x 2 m with 25 trees per plot (8 x 8 m) using a completely randomized block design with three replications. In 2024, measurements were taken for diameter at breast height (Dbh) and height. Data was analyzed to get means for Dbh, height, volume, annual increment (MAI), above-ground biomass (AGB) and above-ground Carbon stock (AGC). An independent t-test was used to analyze differences in species parameters using R-studio. Results showed significant differences in stocking density ($p < 0.001$), Dbh ($p < 0.001$) and height ($p < 0.001$) between species, with *Albizia versicolor* exhibiting larger mean Dbh (8.82 ± 1.36 cm) and height (7.46 ± 1.47 m) but relatively lower stocking density ($1,188 \pm 407$ stems/ha) compared to *Albizia harveyi* (7.33 ± 1.16 cm, 6.63 ± 2.28 m and $2,230 \pm 1046$ stems/ha respectively). However, no significant differences were found in volume, AGB, and AGC. Volume increments were 2.41 m³/ha/yr for *Albizia versicolor* and 2.58 m³/ha/yr for *Albizia harveyi*, while AGB increments were 1.23 and 1.17 t/ha/year for *Albizia versicolor* and *Albizia harveyi* respectively. Above-ground Carbon (AGC) stock increments (0.58 and 0.62 tC ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) for *Albizia versicolor* and *Albizia harveyi* respectively under monoculture were consistent with studies on mature Miombo woodlands. These findings suggest that both *Albizia* species perform well in monoculture growth, demonstrating their potential for sustainable Miombo woodland management and Carbon sequestration, despite lower biomass accumulation rates compared to natural Miombo ecosystems.

APA CITATION

Musana, M. E., Chamshama, S. A., Zahabu, E. & Mugasha, W. (2025). Growth Performance and Carbon Sequestration potential of Planted *Albizia versicolor* and *Albizia harveyi* in Morogoro, Tanzania. *East African Journal of Environment and Natural Resources*, 8(1), 30-37. <https://doi.org/10.37284/eajenr.8.1.2669>.

CHICAGO CITATION

Musana, Milka Elieza, Shaban Athuman Chamshama, Eliakimu Zahabu and Wilson Mugasha. 2025. "Growth Performance and Carbon Sequestration potential of Planted *Albizia versicolor* and *Albizia harveyi* in Morogoro, Tanzania". *East African Journal of Environment and Natural Resources* 8 (1), 30-37. <https://doi.org/10.37284/eajenr.8.1.2669>

HARVARD CITATION

Musana, M. E., Chamshama, S. A., Zahabu, E. & Mugasha, W. (2025) "Growth Performance and Carbon Sequestration potential of Planted *Albizia versicolor* and *Albizia harveyi* in Morogoro, Tanzania", *East African Journal of Environment and Natural Resources*, 8 (1), pp. 30-37. doi: 10.37284/eajenr.8.1.2669.

IEEE CITATION

M. E., Musana, S. A., Chamshama, E., Zahabu & W., Mugasha "Growth Performance and Carbon Sequestration potential of Planted *Albizia versicolor* and *Albizia harveyi* in Morogoro, Tanzania", *EAJENR*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 30-37, Feb. 2025. doi: 10.37284/eajenr.8.1.2630

MLA CITATION

Musana, Milka Elieza, Shaban Athuman Chamshama, Eliakimu Zahabu & Wilson Mugasha. "Growth Performance and Carbon Sequestration potential of Planted *Albizia versicolor* and *Albizia harveyi* in Morogoro, Tanzania". *East African Journal of Environment and Natural Resources*, Vol. 8, no. 1, Feb 2025, pp. 30-37, doi:10.37284/eajenr.8.1.2669.

INTRODUCTION

Savanna is the world's largest ecosystem, occupying about 50% of Africa's land area and 20% of the global land area (Morales-Rincon *et al.*, 2021; Osborne *et al.*, 2018). The Miombo woodlands in Southern Africa are a portion of the southern hemisphere of the African savanna covering two-thirds of the Sudan Zambesian phytoregion (about 2.7 million km²) (Jinga & Palagi, 2020; Mukwada, 2018; Syampungani *et al.*, 2010) with about 8500 species of plants, common ones being *Jubernadia* species, *Brachystegia* species, *Pseudilachnostylis maprouneifolia*, *Burkea africana* and *Diplorhynchus condylocarpon* (Ribeiro *et al.*, 2020b).

These woodlands are a major source of energy for fuelwood in portions of Angola, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Malawi, Mozambique, Tanzania, Zambia, and Zimbabwe and a vital supply of subsistence items for daily living, such as food, medicine, poles and building materials, lumber, and construction products (Bulusu *et al.*, 2021; Chiteculo *et al.*, 2018). In addition, the woodlands support biodiversity, preserve soil fertility, manage soil erosion, provide shade, maintain Carbon stocks (which in turn regulate climate), and govern hydrological cycles; all of which are crucial ecosystem services (Gumbo & Dumas-Johansen, 2021; Jew *et al.*, 2019). In Tanzania, almost 91% of the country's forested area equivalent to 44 million

hectares (ha) is covered by this type of vegetation with a diverse understory of shrubs, grasses, and other vegetation (Mwakalukwa *et al.*, 2024; Manyanda *et al.*, 2021; Lupala *et al.*, 2015).

Despite their ecological and socio-economic significance, the Miombo woodlands in Tanzania face multiple threats from anthropogenic factors which include late wildfires, shifting cultivation and unsustainable harvesting for firewood, charcoal and timber (Ribeiro *et al.*, 2020a; Catarino *et al.*, 2021). To ensure the long-term sustainability and benefits of these woodlands, effective management strategies are essential (Campbell *et al.*, 2007). One such strategy involves the establishment of woodlots, on-farm plantings, and plantations using tree species native to the Miombo woodlands (Vyamana *et al.*, 2021; Kimambo *et al.*, 2020). These alternatives can supplement high-value tree species needed for domestic and external markets.

While studies on the growth performance of Miombo tree species under agroforestry systems exist, little has been documented on their performance when planted in monoculture. Therefore, the specific objectives of this paper are to evaluate the growth performance of *Albizia harveyi* and *Albizia versicolor* in terms of volume, biomass, and carbon sequestration potential 21 years after their establishment in monoculture at Maseyu Village, Morogoro, Tanzania. These two

species are recognized as being among the preferred species for wood fuel in Tanzania and other African savanna ecosystems, as highlighted by Vyamana *et al.*, (2021) and Kaschula *et al.*, (2005).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site description

The study was conducted at Maseyu village near Kitulang'halo forest reserve about 50 km east of Morogoro municipality (6°41' S and 37°57' E). This area was chosen due to its suitable soil types ranging from alfisols to mollisols and inceptisols depending on the altitude of the specific area (Msanya *et al.*, 1995) and environmental conditions. The predominant natural vegetation is regrowth open Miombo woodland with few scattered *Julbenardia globiflora*, *Brachystegia spp* and *Pterocarpus rotundifolia* in the upper story reaching a height of 15-20 m alongside *Themeda triandra* grasses which can reach up to 1.5 m high.

The area experiences tropical and sub-humid climates with two distinct seasons i.e. wet and dry seasons. The wet season is characterized by long and heavy rains from February to May which can reach up to 1000 mm per annum. The weather is warm and arid during the dry season from June to October. There are occasional showers during the dry season especially the month of July and August. Between the wet and dry seasons, there are often short rains which are normally light and sporadic, occurring from November to December. The temperature varies between 18°C and 30°C and the mean annual temperature is 24.3°C (Njoghomi, 2021). The inhabitants of Kitulang'halo practice agriculture and poultry keeping as important economic activities.

Study design

This study utilized an experimental setup that was established in March 2003 and later documented by Vyamana *et al.* (2007) when assessing the effects of nursery treatments such as shade, polythene diameter, tube height, and soil mixtures on the

survival and growth of five Miombo tree species: *Albizia harveyi*, *Albizia versicolor*, *Diplorhynchus condylocarpon*, *Pericopsis angolensis*, and *Pterocarpus angolensis*. Seedlings were raised in the nursery using standard nursery techniques and field planted in March 2003. Square plots measuring 8 x 8 m were used, with distances between plots and blocks set at 2.5 m and 3 m, respectively. Seedlings of *Albizia harveyi* and *Albizia versicolor* were planted at a spacing of 2 x 2 m, with 25 trees per plot (5 rows by 5 columns) using a randomized block design with four replications. For each species, each plot had one surrounding row of trees planted at a spacing of 2 x 2 m. The trial was kept clean by weeding three times during the rainy season and twice during the dry season using hand hoes.

Field assessments and analysis

Tree measurements were conducted on 36 plots for *Albizia versicolor* and 26 plots for *Albizia harveyi*. The reduced number of plots for *Albizia harveyi* was due to some plots being completely empty, a result of illegal harvesting observed in the field. Measurements of Dbh and height were taken on all surviving non-border trees within each plot using a caliper and a Suunto Clinometer respectively. Data analysis was conducted using R Studio Software version 4.2.3 to compute mean Dbh, height, volume, AGB, and AGC, utilizing existing allometric models for Miombo woodland species. MAI was computed by dividing above-ground biomass, Carbon stock and volume production by tree age. A Welch Two-Sample t-test was employed to compare variable means between the two species in terms of Dbh, height, volume, MAI, AGB and AGC.

Model selection

In this study, the applicability of existing models for volume and AGB estimation in the Miombo woodlands of Kitulang'halo forest reserve was evaluated. Two models developed by Chamshama *et al.* (2004), as well as more recent models by Mugasha *et al.* (2013) and Mauya *et al.* (2014) were

considered. To validate these models, field measurements on selected trees representative of small, medium and large diameter were conducted.

The validation process involved felling sample trees, measuring their total height and Dbh, and sectioning them into 1.5 m billets. The length, mid-diameter, and green weight of each billet were recorded directly in the field. Wood disc samples were extracted to determine dry-to-green weight ratios for biomass calibration. Total tree biomass was calculated by summing the dry weights of all billets of a given tree and compared with estimates from the general AGB model equation. For volume estimation, Huber's formula was applied to individual billets of a given tree and compared the summed volumes with predictions from the general volume model equation. Then a t-test was conducted to determine if the two sets of models were statistically significantly different and how closely they aligned with the values obtained directly from the field.

The validation process resulted in the selection of the following models:

Volume (cm^3) = $0.00016 * \text{Dbh}^{2.463}$ (Mauya *et al.*, 2014).

AGB (tons) = $0.0625 * \text{Dbh}^{2.553}$ (Chamshama *et al.*, 2004).

RESULTS

Stocking, Diameter at Breast Height, and Height

The analysis revealed significant differences in stocking density, Dbh, and height between *Albizia versicolor* and *Albizia harveyi*, as shown in **Table 1**. *Albizia harveyi* displayed a higher stocking density, which likely contributed to the differences in Dbh. On the other hand, *Albizia versicolor* reached a greater mean height compared to *Albizia harveyi*, with the height difference also confirmed as statistically significant.

Table 1: Stocking Density, Diameter at Breast Height, and Height of *Albizia versicolor* and *Albizia harveyi*

Variable	Species		p-value
	<i>Albizia versicolor</i>	<i>Albizia harveyi</i>	
Stocking (Stem/ha)	$1,188 \pm 407^a$	$2,230 \pm 1046^b$	< 0.001
Diameter (cm)	8.82 ± 1.36^a	7.33 ± 1.16^b	< 0.001
Height (m)	7.46 ± 1.47^a	6.63 ± 2.28^b	< 0.001

Note: within the same row, mean \pm standard deviation followed by different letters indicate significant differences, p-value < 0.001

Volume and Mean Annual Increment

Despite differences in Dbh and height, no significant difference was observed in volume per ha between the two species, as presented in **Table**

2. The mean volumes for *Albizia versicolor* and *Albizia harveyi* were statistically similar. Similarly, MAI in volume did not differ substantially between the two species.

Table 2: Volume and Mean Annual Increment of *Albizia versicolor* and *Albizia harveyi*

Variable	Species		p-value
	<i>Albizia versicolor</i>	<i>Albizia harveyi</i>	
Volume (m^3/ha)	50.57 ± 23.3^a	54.17 ± 27.8^a	0.6242
MAI ($\text{m}^3/\text{ha}/\text{year}$)	2.41 ± 1.11^a	2.58 ± 1.32^a	0.6242

Note: within the same row, mean \pm standard deviation followed by the same letter are not significantly different, p-value > 0.001

Above-Ground Biomass and Carbon Stocks

As shown in **Table 3**, the analysis of AGB and AGC stocks revealed no significant differences between

Albizia versicolor and *Albizia harveyi*. Both species demonstrated comparable AGB and AGC values, with no statistically significant difference in increments.

Table 3: Above-Ground Biomass, Above-Ground Carbon, and Mean Annual Increment of *Albizia versicolor* and *Albizia harveyi*

Variable	Species		p-value
	<i>Albizia versicolor</i>	<i>Albizia harveyi</i>	
AGB (tons/ha)	24.59 ± 11.6 ^a	25.76 ± 13.5 ^a	0.7449
MAI in AGB (t/ha/yr)	1.17 ± 0.55 ^a	1.23 ± 0.64 ^a	0.7449
AGC (tons/ha)	12.29 ± 5.82 ^a	12.88 ± 6.73 ^a	0.7449
MAI in AGC (tC/ha/yr)	0.58 ± 0.27 ^a	0.62 ± 0.32 ^a	0.7449

Note: within the same row, mean ± standard deviation followed by the same letter are not significantly different, p-value > 0.001

DISCUSSION

Stocking density had a direct impact on growth parameters such as Dbh, volume, AGB, and AGC with no effect on height. In this study, the higher stocking density of *Albizia harveyi*, nearly double that of *Albizia versicolor*, led to smaller Dbh values due to intense competition for resources like light, water, and nutrients, hence restricted lateral growth. In contrast, the lower density of *Albizia versicolor* reduced competition, allowing more resources to be allocated to diameter growth. This aligns with Grundy and Grundy, (1995), who found that reduced competition promotes larger individual growth in Miombo woodlands. Since height was not directly affected by stocking density, the selective removal of larger trees contributed to the lower mean height of *Albizia harveyi* compared to *Albizia versicolor*. As a result, the overall height distribution is skewed toward smaller trees for *Albizia harveyi*, making its height performance appear lower despite potentially similar genetic growth potential.

Despite *Albizia harveyi*'s smaller individual tree sizes, its higher number of stems per ha compensated, resulting in comparable total volume, AGB, and AGC to *Albizia versicolor*. These findings suggest that while *Albizia harveyi* achieves biomass and Carbon stock through high-density stocking, *Albizia versicolor* attains similar levels via

increased individual tree growth. Thus, both species contribute similarly to Carbon sequestration under monoculture conditions, with *Albizia harveyi* relying on cumulative density and *Albizia versicolor* on greater growth per tree.

So far there are no reported research on the performance of *Albizia harveyi* and *Albizia versicolor* under monoculture. MAI values of this study fall within the typical range reported for Tanzanian Miombo woodlands, from 0.8 to 3.3 m³/ha/yr, with an average of 1.6 ± 0.2 m³/ha/yr (Manyanda *et al.*, 2020; Treue *et al.*, 2014; Zahabu *et al.*, 2005). Similar increments have been reported in other studies, with annual volume growth rates ranging between 0.57 and 4.35 m³/ha/yr (Ek, 1994). This alignment suggests that the growth rates of both species are consistent with the typical patterns observed in Miombo woodlands, even though they are grown under monoculture conditions.

AGB, values observed in this study align with those reported by Malimbwi *et al.*, (2001) which range from 0.58 to 3 tons/ha. Similarly, Ek, (1994) recorded AGB increments between 0.57 and 2.97 t/ha/year over a period of 13 to 16 years in Morogoro, Tanzania. Additionally, research on younger Miombo woodlands reported higher AGB increments, ranging from 0.7 to 4.2 t/ha/year, depending on factors such as disturbance levels and fire protection (Grundy & Grundy, 1995). On the

other hand, AGC increments recorded for *Albizia versicolor* and *Albizia harveyi* are also consistent with increments documented by Stromgaard, (1985) who observed 0.5 tC/ha/year in 16-year-old stands; Chidumayo (1997), who reported 0.9 tC/ha/year in 35-year-old Miombo stands and Williams *et al.*, (2008) who reported an increment of 0.4 to 0.9 tC/ha/year for 50-year-old Miombo woodlands.

The relatively lower biomass and Carbon stock increments observed in this study may be partly due to illegal harvesting activities, which likely reduced stocking density and contributed to the observed decreases in biomass and Carbon stock. Despite this, other factors such as site-specific conditions and inherent species traits also played a significant role (Bulusu *et al.*, 2021). Environmental factors like soil fertility, water availability, and management practices are also known to further influence biomass accumulation rates (Sakala & Vinya, 2020). Additionally, stand age tends to impact growth rates, with younger Miombo species often showing higher biomass growth due to active nutrient cycling, whereas mature or minimally disturbed stands tend to accumulate biomass more slowly (Lusambo, 2016; Lupala *et al.*, 2015). Overall, Miombo woodland species are characterized by inherently slow growth rates, which is why relatively low values are observed in this study.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study provides insights into the monoculture growth of *Albizia versicolor* and *Albizia harveyi* as a strategy for Miombo woodland management. Both species demonstrated comparable growth performance with no significant interspecific variations in volume, AGB, or Carbon stock, suggesting adaptability to monoculture systems and potential for sustainable Miombo woodland management.

The findings have practical implications for sustainable woodland management. The higher stocking density of *Albizia harveyi* and its capacity

for volume accumulation, even with smaller diameters, suggest that this species could be prioritized in areas focused on rapid biomass production. In contrast, *Albizia versicolor*, with its larger individual diameters, may be more suited for applications where larger tree structure is preferred, such as timber production or habitat restoration. Such species-specific selection, guided by the study's findings, could enhance the resilience and productivity of Miombo woodland restoration efforts.

Further research is necessary to determine optimal rotation ages and maximum biomass and Carbon sequestration potential for these species in monoculture settings. Expanding studies to include a broader range of Miombo species, with an emphasis on silvicultural practices, species-environment interactions, and genetic diversity, would deepen the understanding of growth dynamics across different Miombo species. This comprehensive approach could lead to optimized management strategies for sustainable woodland restoration and more effective Carbon sequestration. Comparative analyses between monoculture and mixed-species plantings would provide valuable insights into ecosystem function and resilience in managed Miombo systems, ultimately informing best practices for large-scale restoration and climate change mitigation efforts.

REFERENCES

- Bulusu, M., Martius, C., & Clendenning, J. (2021). Carbon stocks in Miombo Woodlands: Evidence from over 50 years. *Forests*, 12(7), 862.
- Campbell, B., Angelsen, A., Cunningham, A., Katerere, Y., Siteo, A., & Wunder, S. (2007). Miombo woodlands—opportunities and barriers to sustainable forest management. *Center for International Forestry Research, Bogor, Indonesia*.
- Catarino, S., Romeiras, M. M., Pereira, J. M., & Figueira, R. (2021). Assessing the conservation

- of Miombo timber species through an integrated index of anthropogenic and climatic threats. *Ecology and Evolution*, 11(14), 9332–9348.
- Chamshama, S., Mugasha, A., & Zahabu, E. (2004). Stand biomass and volume estimation for Miombo woodlands at Kitulangalo, Morogoro, Tanzania. *The Southern African Forestry Journal*, 200(1), 59–70.
- Chidumayo, E. N. (1997). *Woodfuel and deforestation in Southern Africa-a misconceived association*.
- Chiteculo, V., Surový, P., & Panagiotidis, D. (2018). Does management matter? Assessment on miombo forests in Angola. *Sci. Agric. Bohem*.
- Ek, T. (1994). Biomass structure in miombo woodland and semievergreen forest. Ås: Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU).
- Grundy, I., & Grundy, I. (1995). *Regeneration and management of Brachystegia spiciformis Benth. And Julbernardia globiflora (Benth.) Troupin in miombo woodland, Zimbabwe*.
- Gumbo, D. J., & Dumas-Johansen, M. (2021). The role of miombo woodlands in the three Rio conventions. *Climate and Development*, 13(2), 107–114.
- Jew, E. K., Burdekin, O. J., Dougill, A. J., & Sallu, S. M. (2019). *Rapid land use change threatens provisioning ecosystem services in miombo woodlands*. 43(1), 56–70.
- Jinga, P., & Palagi, J. (2020). Dry and wet miombo woodlands of south-central Africa respond differently to climate change. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 192, 1–16.
- Kaschula, S. A., Twine, W. E., & Scholes, M. C. (2005). Coppice harvesting of fuelwood species on a South African common: Utilizing scientific and indigenous knowledge in community based natural resource management. *Human Ecology*, 33, 387–418.
- Kimambo, N. E., L'roe, J., Naughton-Treves, L., & Radeloff, V. C. (2020). The role of smallholder woodlots in global restoration pledges—Lessons from Tanzania. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 115, 102144.
- Lupala, Z., Lusambo, L., Ngaga, Y., & Makatta, A. A. (2015). The land use and cover change in miombo woodlands under community-based forest management and its implication to climate change mitigation: A case of southern highlands of Tanzania. *International Journal of Forestry Research*, 2015(1), 459102.
- Lusambo, L. P. (2016). Household energy consumption patterns in Tanzania. *Journal of Ecosystem and Ecography*, 5(2), 1–20.
- Malimbwi, R., Misana, S., Monela, G., Jambiya, G., & Nduwanungu, J. (2001). Final report for Tanzania. *Charcoal Potential in Southern Africa*. Stockholm: Stockholm Environment Institute, 1–67.
- Manyanda, B. J., Nzunda, E. F., Mugasha, W. A., & Malimbwi, R. E. (2020). Estimates of volume and carbon stock removals in Miombo woodlands of mainland Tanzania. *International Journal of Forestry Research*, 2020(1), 4043965.
- Manyanda, B. J., Nzunda, E. F., Mugasha, W. A., & Malimbwi, R. E. (2021). Effects of drivers and their variations on the number of stems and aboveground carbon removals in miombo woodlands of mainland Tanzania. *Carbon Balance and Management*, 16(1), 16.
- Mauya, E. W., Mugasha, W. A., Zahabu, E., Bollandås, O. M., & Eid, T. (2014). Models for estimation of tree volume in the miombo woodlands of Tanzania. *Southern Forests: A Journal of Forest Science*, 76(4), 209–219.

- Morales-Rincon, L. A., Hernandez, A. J., Rodriguez-Hernandez, N. S., & Jimenez, R. (2021). Carbon exchange and accumulation in an orinoco high plains native savanna ecosystem as measured by eddy covariance. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 9, 673932.
- Msanya, B., Kimaro, D., & Shayo-Ngowi, A. J. (1995). *Soils of Kitulangalo forest reserve area, Morogoro district, Tanzania*. Sokoine University of Agriculture.
- Mugasha, W. A., Eid, T., Bollandsås, O. M., Malimbwi, R. E., Chamshama, S. A. O., Zahabu, E., & Katani, J. Z. (2013). Allometric models for prediction of above-and belowground biomass of trees in the miombo woodlands of Tanzania. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 310, 87–101.
- Mukwada, G. (2018). Savanna ecosystems of southern Africa. In *Southern African Landscapes and Environmental Change* (pp. 181–201). Routledge.
- Mwakalukwa, E. E., Meilby, H., & Treue, T. (2024). Carbon storage in a dry Miombo woodland area in Tanzania. *Southern Forests: A Journal of Forest Science*, 86(2), 115–124.
- Njoghomi, E. E. (2021). *Tree growth dynamics and ecological recovery in Kitulangalo miombo woodlands, Morogoro, Tanzania*.
- Osborne, C. P., Charles-Dominique, T., Stevens, N., Bond, W. J., Midgley, G., & Lehmann, C. E. (2018). Human impacts in African savannas are mediated by plant functional traits. *New Phytologist*, 220(1), 10–24.
- Ribeiro, N. S., Katerere, Y., Chirwa, P. W., & Grundy, I. M. (2020a). *Miombo woodlands in a changing environment: Securing the resilience and sustainability of people and woodlands*. Springer Nature.
- Ribeiro, N. S., Silva de Miranda, P. L., & Timberlake, J. (2020b). Biogeography and ecology of miombo woodlands. *Miombo Woodlands in a Changing Environment: Securing the Resilience and Sustainability of People and Woodlands*, 9–53.
- Sakala, W. D., & Vinya, R. (2020). An Evaluation of Carbon Dynamics in Miombo Woodlands. *Carbon*, 69.
- Stromgaard, P. (1985). Biomass, growth, and burning of woodland in a shifting cultivation area of South-Central Africa. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 12(3–4), 163–178.
- Syampungani, S., Chirwa, P., Akinnifesi, F., & Ajayi, O. (2010). *The potential of using agroforestry as a win-win solution to climate change mitigation and adaptation and meeting food security challenges in Southern Africa*.
- Treue, T., Ngaga, Y. M., Meilby, H., Lund, J. F., Kajembe, G., Iddi, S., Blomley, T., Theilade, I., Chamshama, S., & Skeie, K. (2014). Does participatory forest management promote sustainable forest utilisation in Tanzania? *International Forestry Review*, 16(1), 23–38.
- Vyamana, V., Chamshama, S., & Mugasha, A. (2007). *Effect of nursery practices on seedling survival and growth of selected miombo tree species, Morogoro, Tanzania*.
- Vyamana, V. G., Chamshama, S. A., & Andrew, S. M. (2021). Coppicing and productivity of two indigenous tree species under different forest management regimes in Tanzania. *Trees, Forests and People*, 4, 100088.
- Williams, M., Ryan, C., Rees, R., Sambane, E., Fernando, J., & Grace, J. (2008). Carbon sequestration and biodiversity of re-growing miombo woodlands in Mozambique. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 254(2), 145–155.
- Zahabu, E., Monela, G., Jambiya, G., & Mchome, B. (2005). *Charcoal, potential of Miombo woodlands at Kitulangalo, Tanzania*.