East African Journal of Engineering
eaje.eanso.org
Volume 8, Issue 1, 2025
Print ISSN: 2707-5389 | Online ISSN: 2707-5397
Title DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/2707-5397

EAST AFRICAN NATURE & SCIENCE ORGANIZATION

Original Article

Development of Pavement Condition Prediction Model for Airport Runway to Enhance Operational Availability: The Case of Mtwara Airport

Zuena Iddi Mvungi[1], Dr. Jubily Musagasa, PhD[1] & Dr. Joseph Mkilania, PhD[1]*

[1] Dar es Salaam Institute of Technology, P. O. Box 2958, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.
[*] Correspondence Email: zuenalulu@gmail.com

Article DOI : https://doi.org/10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513

Publication Date:

19 August 2025

Keywords:

Airport Runway, Pavement Condition Prediction, Maintenance Management, Operational Availability, Multiple Regression Model, Tanzania.

ABSTRACT

Airport runway pavements constitute critical infrastructure components requiring systematic maintenance management to ensure operational safety and efficiency. This study developed a pavement condition prediction model for airport runways to enhance operational availability, using Mtwara Airport as a case study. The research employed a mixed-methods approach involving comprehensive surveys of 70 aviation professionals, including civil engineers, technicians, managers, artisans, and pilots, to identify and quantify factors affecting runway pavement deterioration. Using Relative Importance Index (RII) analysis, twelve critical factors were systematically evaluated and ranked based on their impact on pavement condition. Construction quality emerged as the most significant factor (RII = 0.726), followed by aircraft weight (RII = 0.714) and subgrade strength (RII = 0.703). A multiple regression model was subsequently developed, incorporating the eight highest-importance factors, achieving strong predictive capability with $R^2 = 0.828$, explaining 82.8% of the variance in pavement condition. The model equation demonstrated statistical significance ($F = 33.756$, $p < 0.001$). Key limitations identified include systematic upward bias for poor to moderate pavement conditions, indicating the model's optimal performance for good to excellent conditions and highlighting areas requiring future calibration enhancement. Despite these limitations, comprehensive validation results confirm the model's practical utility for proactive maintenance planning. The study provides airport managers with a quantitative tool for data-driven decisions regarding resource allocation and improving runway operational availability, representing a significant advancement in pavement management for tropical developing country contexts.

APA CITATION

Mvungi, Z. I., Musagasa, J. & Mkilania, J. (2025). Development of Pavement Condition Prediction Model for Airport Runway to Enhance Operational Availability: The Case of Mtwara Airport. *East African Journal of Engineering*, *8*(1), 285-297. https://doi.org/10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513

CHICAGO CITATION

Mvungi, Zuena Iddi, Jubily Musagasa and Joseph Mkilania. 2025. "Development of Pavement Condition Prediction Model for Airport Runway to Enhance Operational Availability: The Case of Mtwara Airport". *East African Journal of Engineering* 8 (1), 285-297. https://doi.org/10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513.

HARVARD CITATION

Mvungi, Z. I., Musagasa, J. & Mkilania, J. (2025) "Development of Pavement Condition Prediction Model for Airport Runway to Enhance Operational Availability: The Case of Mtwara Airport", *East African Journal of Engineering*, 8(1), pp. 285-297. doi: 10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513.

IEEE CITATION

Z. I., Mvungi, J., Musagasa & J., Mkilania "Development of Pavement Condition Prediction Model for Airport Runway to Enhance Operational Availability: The Case of Mtwara Airport" *EAJE*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp 285-297, Aug. 2025.

MLA CITATION

Mvungi, Zuena Iddi, Jubily Musagasa & Joseph Mkilania. "Development of Pavement Condition Prediction Model for Airport Runway to Enhance Operational Availability: The Case of Mtwara Airport" *East African Journal of Engineering*, Vol. 8, no. 1, Aug. 2025, pp. 285-297, doi:10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513.

INTRODUCTION

Airport runways represent critical infrastructure components whose structural integrity directly influences aviation safety, operational efficiency, and economic sustainability of transportation networks. The deterioration of runway pavements is an inevitable process influenced by complex interactions among multiple factors, including aircraft loading patterns, environmental conditions, construction quality, and maintenance regimes (Shahin, 1994). Effective prediction of pavement condition deterioration is essential for implementing optimal maintenance strategies, maximising resource utilisation, and ensuring continuous operational availability.

Research Problem and Context

In developing countries, particularly Tanzania, airport authorities predominantly employ reactive maintenance approaches that address infrastructure problems after their occurrence rather than preventing them through proactive intervention strategies (Tanzania Airports Authority, 2018). This reactive methodology typically results in elevated life-cycle costs, operational disruptions, and suboptimal resource allocation patterns. The development of predictive models calibrated to local operational and environmental conditions offers significant potential for transitioning toward more effective proactive pavement management systems.

Mtwara Airport, strategically located in Tanzania's southern coastal region, presents unique challenges and opportunities for pavement condition prediction research. The airport serves as a critical gateway for the region's emerging natural gas industry while experiencing distinct environmental conditions characteristic of tropical coastal climates. The runway infrastructure, comprising a 2,258-meter asphalt-surfaced runway with a 30-meter width, has exhibited premature deterioration patterns despite significant maintenance investments, highlighting deficiencies in current management approaches.

Research Gap and Novelty

Recent developments in pavement evaluation techniques and data analytics have enabled the formulation of sophisticated predictive models capable of accounting for complex interactions among various deterioration factors (Hong & Prozzi, 2015; Zhang et al., 2023). However, most existing prediction models were developed for different climatic, operational, and construction contexts, limiting their direct applicability to Tanzanian airport conditions. This study addresses a critical gap by developing the first comprehensive pavement condition prediction model specifically calibrated for Tanzanian airport runways,

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513

integrating local environmental characteristics, traffic patterns, and construction practices.

Research Questions and Hypotheses

This study addresses the following primary research questions:

- What are the most critical factors affecting airport runway pavement condition in the Tanzanian tropical coastal environment?
- Can a statistically robust multiple regression model accurately predict pavement condition using locally relevant factors?
- How does the developed model perform across different pavement condition ranges, and what are its practical limitations?

  Primary Hypothesis: *A multiple regression model incorporating locally identified critical factors can achieve predictive accuracy exceeding 80% ($R^2 \geq 0.80$) for airport runway pavement condition in Tanzanian contexts.*

Research Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to develop a comprehensive pavement condition prediction model specifically calibrated for Tanzanian airport runways, utilising Mtwara Airport as a representative case study. The model integrates local environmental characteristics, traffic patterns, and historical maintenance records to provide accurate deterioration predictions that can inform maintenance planning and budgetary decisions.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretical Framework for Pavement Deterioration

Pavement deterioration represents a complex phenomenon involving multiple interacting mechanisms that progressively reduce structural and functional capacity over time. The theoretical understanding of these mechanisms has evolved significantly over recent decades, incorporating advances in materials science, structural engineering, and systems analysis (Haas et al., 2006). Contemporary approaches to pavement deterioration modelling recognise the multifactorial nature of the process, acknowledging that simple linear relationships are inadequate for capturing the complex interactions among contributing factors.

The fundamental mechanisms of pavement deterioration include fatigue cracking resulting from repeated loading cycles, thermal cracking due to temperature fluctuations, rutting caused by excessive deformation under loading, and surface distress arising from environmental exposure and material ageing (Prozzi & Madanat, 2003). Each mechanism exhibits distinct characteristics and responds differently to various influencing factors, necessitating comprehensive modelling approaches that can account for multiple deterioration pathways simultaneously.

Factors Influencing Airport Runway Pavement Performance

Traffic Loading Characteristics

Aircraft loading represents one of the most significant factors affecting airport runway pavement deterioration, exhibiting characteristics substantially different from highway traffic patterns. Unlike highway pavements that experience distributed loading across multiple lanes, airport runways are subjected to concentrated, high-magnitude loads applied at relatively lower frequencies (Nega et al., 2016). The unique aspects of aircraft loading include extremely high wheel loads, varying tire pressures, diverse gear configurations, and non-uniform traffic distribution across the runway width.

Modern commercial aircraft generate wheel loads ranging from 200 to 600 kN, significantly exceeding typical highway vehicle loads. These loads create complex stress distributions within pavement structures, generating high tensile strains at the bottom of asphalt layers and substantial compressive strains in subgrade materials. The repetitive application of these loads initiates fatigue

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513

cracking mechanisms that progressively reduce structural capacity over time.

Environmental and Climatic Influences

Environmental conditions exert profound influences on airport runway pavement performance through multiple mechanisms affecting both material properties and structural behaviour. Temperature fluctuations cause expansion and contraction in asphalt pavements, leading to thermal stress development and potential cracking (Haas, 2001). Excessive temperatures can result in asphalt softening and increased susceptibility to permanent deformation, while low temperatures increase material stiffness and cracking potential.

Precipitation patterns affect moisture content in pavement layers, particularly subgrade and base materials, potentially reducing structural capacity through strength reduction and increased deformation susceptibility. In tropical climates such as those experienced in coastal Tanzania, high humidity levels and frequent precipitation create challenging conditions for pavement durability, accelerating various deterioration mechanisms through moisture-related damage.

Construction Quality and Material Properties

The quality of initial construction fundamentally determines long-term pavement performance characteristics and establishes baseline conditions for subsequent deterioration processes. Construction quality encompasses multiple aspects, including material selection and quality control, compaction procedures, joint construction, and adherence to design specifications (Muench et al., 2001). Deficiencies in any of these areas can result in premature deterioration and unpredictable performance patterns.

Pavement Condition Prediction Methodologies

Traditional Statistical Approaches

Multiple regression analysis represents one of the most widely adopted methodologies for pavement condition prediction, offering a straightforward framework for establishing quantitative relationships between pavement condition and influencing factors. These models typically express pavement condition as a linear combination of independent variables representing various deterioration factors (Issa et al., 2022). The mathematical formulation provides interpretable coefficients indicating the relative importance and directional effects of individual factors.

Emerging Technologies and Machine Learning

Recent advances in machine learning and artificial intelligence have introduced sophisticated alternatives to traditional regression approaches (Kumar et al., 2024; Liu & Chen, 2023). Neural networks, support vector machines, and ensemble methods have demonstrated superior performance in capturing non-linear relationships and complex interactions among deterioration factors. Random forest algorithms and gradient boosting methods have shown particular promise for pavement condition prediction, achieving accuracy improvements of 15-25% over traditional regression models in recent studies.

However, these advanced methods often require extensive datasets and may lack the interpretability that makes traditional regression models valuable for engineering decision-making. The choice between traditional and machine learning approaches depends on data availability, required accuracy levels, and the need for model transparency in practical applications.

Model Validation and Calibration Procedures

Effective model validation and calibration procedures are essential for ensuring predictive accuracy and practical applicability of pavement

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513

condition models. Validation typically involves comparing model predictions with independent observed data not used in model development, providing objective assessments of predictive performance (Hand et al., 1999). Statistical measures such as the coefficient of determination (R^2), root mean square error (RMSE), and mean absolute error (MAE) quantify various aspects of model accuracy.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design and Approach

This study employed a comprehensive mixed-methods research design combining quantitative data analysis with qualitative insights from industry professionals to develop a robust pavement condition prediction model. The research approach integrated multiple data sources and analytical techniques to ensure comprehensive coverage of factors influencing pavement deterioration and reliable model development procedures.

The research design incorporated three distinct phases: factor identification and ranking, model development, and model validation. Each phase employed specific methodologies appropriate for achieving the respective objectives while maintaining consistency with overall research goals.

Ethical Considerations

This research adhered to ethical guidelines for human subject research, including:

- Informed consent procedures for all survey participants
- Voluntary participation with rights to withdraw without penalty
- Confidentiality and anonymity protections for respondent identities
- Institutional review board approval from the Dar es Salaam Institute of Technology
- Data security measures for protecting sensitive information

All participants were provided with information sheets explaining the research purpose, procedures, potential risks and benefits, and their rights as research subjects. Written consent was obtained before survey administration, and participants were assured that their responses would remain confidential and be used solely for research purposes.

Study Population and Sampling Methodology

The study population comprised aviation professionals with direct experience in airport infrastructure management, including civil engineers, maintenance technicians, airport managers, artisans, and pilots. This diverse population was selected to capture multiple perspectives on factors affecting pavement condition and ensure comprehensive coverage of operational, technical, and managerial viewpoints.

A stratified random sampling technique was employed to ensure representative participation across different professional categories and experience levels. The sample size calculation utilised a standard formula for population-based surveys, considering a 95% confidence level, an estimated population proportion of 0.5 for maximum variability, and a margin of error of 0.1.

Sample Size Justification

While the initial calculation yielded a recommended sample size of 96 participants, practical constraints necessitated an adjustment to 70 participants. This reduction was justified through power analysis, indicating that a sample size of 70 would still provide adequate statistical power (>80%) for detecting moderate to large effect sizes in multiple regression analysis. Additional considerations supporting this sample size include:

- Limited population of qualified aviation professionals in Tanzania

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513

- The high expertise level of participants compensates for the reduced sample size
- Multiple response validation through diverse professional categories
- Precedent studies in similar contexts successfully utilised comparable sample sizes

The final sample maintained adequate representation across professional categories and experience levels, ensuring the validity of statistical analyses and the practical applicability of results.

Data Collection Procedures

Data collection involved multiple methods designed to capture both quantitative measurements and qualitative insights regarding pavement condition factors. Primary data collection utilised structured questionnaires administered to aviation professionals, incorporating five-point Likert scales to quantify perceived importance and impact of various factors on pavement condition.

Secondary data collection involved review of historical maintenance records, pavement condition assessments, environmental data, and aircraft operations statistics from Mtwara Airport. This comprehensive approach ensured the availability of both subjective professional assessments and objective measured data for model development and validation purposes.

Data Analysis Methodologies

Relative Importance Index Analysis

The Relative Importance Index (RII) methodology was employed to systematically rank factors affecting pavement condition based on professional survey responses. The RII calculation utilised the formula: $RII = \sum W/(A \times N)$, where $\sum W$ represents the sum of weights assigned to each factor, A represents the highest weight (5 on the Likert scale), and N represents the total number of respondents (70).

Multiple Regression Model Development

Multiple regression analysis was conducted using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software to develop the pavement condition prediction model. The analysis incorporated the eight highest-ranked factors from the RII analysis as independent variables, with pavement condition index serving as the dependent variable. Model development included assessment of statistical significance, coefficient estimation, and evaluation of overall model performance using standard regression diagnostics.

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Participant Demographics and Characteristics

The survey participants represented a diverse and experienced professional population with substantial expertise in airport infrastructure management. Educational backgrounds ranged from certificate level to doctoral degrees, with 38.6% holding bachelor's degrees and 27.1% holding diplomas. Work experience distribution showed 32.9% of participants with 5-10 years of experience and 27.1% with 11-15 years, indicating a mature professional workforce with substantial practical knowledge.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513

Table 1: Participant Demographics and Professional Experience

Category	Subcategory	Number	Percentage
Professional Category	Civil Engineer	30	42.9%
	Technician	24	34.3%
	Artisan	12	17.1%
	Manager	3	4.3%
	Pilot	1	1.4%
Education Level	Certificate	14	20.0%
	Diploma	19	27.1%
	Bachelor's Degree	27	38.6%
	Master's Degree	9	12.9%
	PhD	1	1.4%
Work Experience	Less than 5 years	14	20.0%
	5-10 years	23	32.9%
	11-15 years	19	27.1%
	16-20 years	10	14.3%
	More than 20 years	4	5.7%

Factor Identification and Ranking Results

The RII analysis revealed significant differentiation among factors affecting pavement condition prediction, with clear hierarchical relationships emerging from professional assessments. Construction quality achieved the highest ranking (RII = 0.726), followed closely by aircraft weight (RII = 0.714) and subgrade strength (RII = 0.703). These results align with theoretical expectations and engineering principles governing pavement performance.

Table 2: Relative Importance Index Rankings for Pavement Condition Factors

Rank	Factor	RII	Importance Level
1	Construction Quality	0.726	Most Significant
2	Aircraft Weight	0.714	Most Significant
3	Subgrade Strength	0.703	Most Significant
4	Pavement Age	0.680	Significant
5	Aircraft Repetitions	0.680	Significant
6	Surface Cracking	0.680	Significant
7	Asphalt Layer Thickness	0.646	Less Significant
8	Surface Temperature	0.489	Less Significant
9	Surface Roughness	0.466	Less Significant
10	Rainfall Intensity	0.431	Not Significant
11	Drainage Efficiency	0.423	Not Significant
12	Maintenance Frequency	0.423	Not Significant

The ranking results demonstrate that eight factors achieved importance levels categorised as "significant" or higher (RII ≥ 0.646), while four factors were classified as having lower importance. Notably, no factors received "very low" importance ratings, indicating that all measured variables contribute meaningfully to pavement condition prediction, though with varying degrees of influence.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513

Prediction Model Development Results

Statistical Model Performance

The multiple regression model demonstrated exceptional predictive capability, with statistical performance indicators exceeding typical benchmarks for infrastructure prediction models. The multiple correlation coefficient (R = 0.910) indicated strong linear relationships between predictor variables and pavement condition, while the coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.828$) demonstrated that 82.8% of the variance in pavement condition was explained by the eight predictor variables.

Table 3: Multiple Regression Model Performance Statistics

Statistic	Value
Multiple Correlation (R)	0.910
Coefficient of Determination (R^2)	0.828
Adjusted R^2	0.811
Standard Error of Estimate	6.453
F-Statistic	33.756
Significance Level	$p < 0.001$
Sample Size (N)	70

Regression Coefficients and Model Equation

The regression analysis yielded statistically significant coefficients for all predictor variables, confirming their contributions to pavement condition prediction. The resulting model equation provides a quantitative framework for predicting pavement condition based on measurable input parameters:

PCI = 0.02 + 0.02(Construction Quality) - 0.02(Aircraft Weight) + 0.13(Subgrade Strength) - 0.11(Pavement Age) + 0.11(Aircraft Repetitions) + 0.04(Surface Cracking) + 0.01(Asphalt Layer Thickness)

Table 4: Regression Coefficients and Statistical Significance

Factor	Coefficient (β)	Standardized Coefficient	t-value	Significance
Constant	0.02	-	3.283	0.002
Construction Quality	0.02	0.368	6.173	<0.001
Aircraft Weight	-0.02	-0.294	-4.951	<0.001
Subgrade Strength	0.13	0.257	4.814	<0.001
Pavement Age	-0.11	-0.243	-4.801	<0.001
Aircraft Repetitions	0.11	-0.189	-3.322	0.002
Surface Cracking	0.04	-0.198	-3.433	0.001
Asphalt Layer Thickness	0.01	0.156	3.170	0.003

Model Validation Results

The validation exercise revealed critical characteristics regarding model performance across different pavement condition ranges, highlighting both strengths and significant limitations. The model demonstrated optimal accuracy for good to excellent pavement conditions but exhibited systematic upward bias when predicting poor to moderate conditions. This performance pattern has important implications for practical application and identifies areas requiring future calibration enhancement.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513

Table 5: Model Validation Results Across Condition Ranges

Condition Category	Expected PCI Range	Predicted PCI	Bias Direction	Performance Assessment
Very Poor	0-20%	0.20	None	Accurate
Poor	20-40%	0.38	Upward	Moderate Overestimation
Moderate	40-60%	0.56	Upward	**Significant Overestimation**
Good	60-80%	0.75	Upward	Slight Overestimation
Very Good	80-100%	0.92	Minimal	High Accuracy

Critical Limitation: The validation results indicate systematic bias where the model consistently overestimates pavement condition for deteriorated surfaces. This suggests the training data may have been skewed toward better-maintained pavements, reflecting the operational reality that airport runways are rarely allowed to reach severely deteriorated states due to safety requirements.

DISCUSSION

Significance of Factor Rankings

The emergence of construction quality as the highest-ranked factor (RII = 0.726) reinforces fundamental engineering principles emphasising the critical importance of initial construction quality for long-term pavement performance. This finding aligns with extensive research demonstrating that construction deficiencies often manifest as premature deterioration patterns that are difficult and expensive to rectify through maintenance interventions (Muench et al., 2001). The high ranking suggests that airport authorities should prioritise quality control procedures during initial construction and major rehabilitation projects.

The second-position ranking of aircraft weight (RII = 0.714) reflects the direct relationship between loading magnitude and structural deterioration rates. This result is consistent with mechanistic pavement design principles that identify loading as a primary factor in fatigue-related deterioration mechanisms. Comparison with international studies shows similar findings, where aircraft loading typically ranks among the top three factors affecting runway pavement performance (Ahmed et al., 2023; European Aviation Safety Agency, 2022).

Model Performance and Practical Applications

The strong statistical performance of the prediction model (R^2 = 0.828) demonstrates the effectiveness of the factor selection process and supports the practical utility of the developed tool. When compared to similar studies, this R^2 value exceeds many existing pavement prediction models: Smith et al. (2022) achieved R^2 = 0.73 for highway pavements, while Johnson & Williams (2023) reported R^2 = 0.79 for airport pavement models in temperate climates. This superior performance may reflect the focused scope of the study and the high expertise level of survey participants.

The quantitative nature of the model enables airport managers to evaluate potential impacts of different scenarios, such as changes in aircraft mix, maintenance timing, or construction specifications. This capability supports evidence-based decision-making and optimisation of resource allocation strategies, potentially yielding substantial economic benefits through improved maintenance efficiency.

Critical Analysis of Model Limitations

The systematic upward bias observed in model predictions for poor to moderate pavement conditions represents a significant limitation that requires careful consideration in practical applications. Several factors likely contribute to this bias:

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513

1. **Training Data Skew:** Airport runways, due to safety requirements, are rarely allowed to deteriorate to very poor conditions, resulting in limited training data for these scenarios.
2. **Non-linear Deterioration Mechanisms:** The linear regression approach may inadequately capture accelerating deterioration patterns characteristic of severely damaged pavements.
3. **Expert Perception Bias:** Survey respondents may have limited experience with severely deteriorated runways, potentially affecting their factor importance assessments.

This bias pattern suggests the model is most reliable for preventive maintenance planning on pavements in good condition, while additional engineering judgment and inspection methods may be required for severely deteriorated runways. The conservative prediction nature could benefit maintenance planning by encouraging proactive interventions before conditions reach critical levels.

Comparison with International Standards

When evaluated against international benchmarks, this study's methodology and results demonstrate several noteworthy characteristics: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) typically uses Pavement Condition Index (PCI) values above 70 as acceptable for runway operations, while this model shows optimal performance precisely in that range. International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) standards emphasise proactive maintenance at PCI levels of 55-70, aligning well with the model's strength in good condition prediction.

Novel Contributions and Significance

This research makes several unique contributions to the field:

1. **First Calibrated Model for Tropical Airports:** This represents the first comprehensive pavement condition prediction model specifically developed and validated for tropical developing country airport contexts.
2. **Integration of Local Factors:** The model incorporates construction practices, environmental conditions, and operational patterns unique to the Tanzanian aviation context.
3. **Multi-stakeholder Validation:** The use of diverse professional perspectives (engineers, technicians, managers, pilots) provides broader validation than typical single-discipline studies.
4. **Practical Implementation Framework:** Unlike purely theoretical models, this study provides actionable recommendations and implementation guidance for airport managers.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Research Conclusions

This study successfully developed a comprehensive pavement condition prediction model specifically calibrated for Tanzanian airport runway conditions, achieving the primary research objective through systematic factor identification, statistical modelling, and validation procedures. The research represents a significant advancement in pavement management capabilities for developing country aviation infrastructure, providing the first locally-calibrated prediction tool of its kind.

Key Findings:

1. **Factor Hierarchy:** Construction quality, aircraft weight, and subgrade strength emerge as the most critical factors, providing clear guidance for prioritising management attention and resources.
2. **Strong Predictive Performance:** The multiple regression model achieved $R^2 = 0.828$, exceeding the hypothesised 80% accuracy threshold and demonstrating superior performance compared to existing models in similar contexts.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513

3. **Practical Utility Confirmed:** The model provides airport managers with a quantitative tool for data-driven maintenance planning, supporting the transition from reactive to proactive management approaches.

4. **Performance Limitations Identified:** Systematic upward bias for poor to moderate conditions indicates optimal utility for preventive rather than corrective maintenance planning.

Practical Recommendations

Immediate Implementation (0-1 Year):

1. **Construction Quality Enhancement Programs:** Implement comprehensive quality control systems during construction and rehabilitation projects, including enhanced material testing protocols, real-time compaction monitoring, and mandatory contractor training certifications.

2. **Aircraft Weight Management Systems:** Develop systematic monitoring protocols for aircraft loading patterns, coordinate with airlines to optimise weight distribution, and establish load restriction procedures during periods of high pavement vulnerability.

3. **Model Integration Pilot Program:** Begin pilot implementation of the prediction model in maintenance planning for Mtwara Airport, establishing baseline measurements and validation procedures for real-world application.

Medium-term Development (1-3 Years):

4. **Subgrade Improvement Initiative:** Prioritise subgrade stabilisation projects using lime or cement treatment, enhance drainage systems to prevent moisture-related deterioration, and implement geosynthetic reinforcement in critical areas.

5. **Network-wide Implementation:** Extend model application to other Tanzanian airports, adapting calibration parameters for local conditions and establishing standardised assessment protocols.

6. **Training and Capacity Building:** Develop comprehensive training programs for airport personnel on model application, data collection procedures, and maintenance planning integration.

Long-term Strategic Development (3-5 Years):

7. **Advanced Technology Integration:** Investigate the incorporation of automated condition monitoring systems, ground-penetrating radar assessment capabilities, and machine learning enhancement opportunities.

8. **Regional Standardisation:** Work with regional aviation authorities to establish standardised pavement management approaches across East African airports, sharing model development expertise and validation methodologies.

Future Research Directions

Immediate Priority Research Needs:

1. **Non-linear Modelling Enhancement:** Investigate machine learning approaches (neural networks, support vector machines, random forests) that might better capture complex deterioration mechanisms, particularly for severely deteriorated pavements.

2. **Extended Temporal Validation:** Implement longitudinal studies tracking actual pavement performance over 5-10 years to validate prediction accuracy and refine calibration parameters.

Advanced Research Opportunities:

3. **Multi-airport Network Analysis:** Expand methodology to network-level analysis across

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513

Tanzania's aviation infrastructure, developing standardised approaches for diverse operational and environmental contexts.

4. **Climate Change Impact Assessment:** Investigate potential modifications needed to account for changing precipitation patterns, temperature extremes, and extreme weather events associated with climate change in tropical regions.
5. **Economic Optimisation Models:** Develop integrated economic models combining pavement condition prediction with life-cycle cost analysis, enabling optimal timing and selection of maintenance interventions.
6. **Real-time Monitoring Integration:** Explore integration with Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, automated inspection systems, and satellite monitoring technologies for continuous condition assessment and model refinement.

Final Assessment

The successful development and validation of this pavement condition prediction model represents a transformative advancement in airport infrastructure management capabilities for Tanzania and similar developing country contexts. The quantitative framework provides a foundation for evidence-based decision-making that could substantially improve maintenance efficiency, extend pavement service life, and enhance operational safety across the aviation network.

The model's demonstrated predictive capability, combined with practical implementation recommendations and identified future research directions, establishes a comprehensive roadmap for modernising pavement management practices in developing country aviation infrastructure. While limitations exist, particularly regarding severely deteriorated conditions, the tool's strength in preventive maintenance applications aligns well with best practices in runway safety management.

This research contributes significantly to the limited body of knowledge on infrastructure management in tropical developing countries, providing both theoretical insights and practical tools that can inform policy development and resource allocation decisions across the aviation sector.

REFERENCES

Ahmed, K., Smith, R., & Johnson, M. (2023). *International comparison of airport pavement deterioration factors*. Journal of Airport Engineering, 45(3), 234-251.

Butt, A. A., Shahin, M. Y., Feighan, K. J., & Carpenter, S. H. (1987). Pavement performance prediction model using the Markov process. *Transportation Research Record*, 1123, 12-19.

European Aviation Safety Agency. (2022). *Guidelines for airport pavement management in European contexts*. EASA Technical Report 2022-15.

Haas, R. (2001). Reinventing the (pavement management) wheel. *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Managing Pavements*, Seattle, WA.

Haas, R., Tighe, S. L., & Falls, L. C. (2006). Determining return on long-life pavement investments. *Transportation Research Record*, 1974(1), 10-17.

Hand, A. J., Sebaaly, P. E., & Epps, J. A. (1999). Development of performance models based on Department of Transportation pavement management system data. *Transportation Research Record*, 1684(1), 215-222.

Hong, F., & Prozzi, J. A. (2015). Pavement deterioration model incorporating unobserved heterogeneity for optimal life-cycle rehabilitation policy. *Journal of Infrastructure Systems*, 21(1), 04014027.

Issa, A., Sammaneh, H., & Abaza, K. (2022). Modeling the pavement condition index using a

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eaje.8.1.3513

cascade architecture: Classical and neural network methods. *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transactions of Civil Engineering*, 46(1), 483-495.

Johnson, P., & Williams, L. (2023). *Advanced pavement prediction models for temperate airport environments*. International Journal of Aviation Infrastructure, 12(4), 145-162.

Kumar, S., Patel, N., & Singh, R. (2024). Machine learning applications in pavement condition assessment: A comprehensive review. *Construction and Building Materials*, 385, 131543.

Liu, X., & Chen, Y. (2023). Deep learning approaches for pavement distress prediction: Recent advances and future directions. *Automation in Construction*, 147, 104729.

Lytton, R. L. (1987). Concepts of pavement performance prediction and modelling. *Proceedings of the 2nd North American Conference on Managing Pavements*, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

Morosiuk, G., & Riley, M. (2004). *Modelling road deterioration and works effects in HDM-4* (Report No. 2840601036). Transport Research Laboratory.

Muench, S. T., Mahoney, J. P., & Walter, J. (2001). *A quantification and evaluation of WSDOT's hot mix asphalt concrete statistical acceptance specification*. Washington State Department of Transportation.

Nega, A., Nikraz, H., & Al-Qadi, I. L. (2016). Dynamic analysis of falling weight deflectometer. *Journal of Traffic and Transportation Engineering (English Edition)*, 3(5), 427-437.

Prozzi, J. A., & Madanat, S. M. (2003). Analysis of experimental pavement failure data using duration models. *Journal of Infrastructure Systems*, 9(4), 167-174.

Shahin, M. Y. (1994). *Pavement management for airports, roads, and parking lots*. Chapman & Hall.

Smith, A., Brown, K., & Davis, J. (2022). Highway pavement prediction models: A comparative analysis of regression and machine learning approaches. *Transportation Research Part C*, 135, 103521.

Tanzania Airports Authority. (2018). *Annual report on airport infrastructure condition assessment*. Ministry of Transport, Tanzania.

Zhang, L., Wang, H., & Liu, M. (2023). Recent advances in pavement condition prediction using artificial intelligence: A systematic review. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 119, 105782.

 |